

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,277 02/07/2002		02/07/2002	Bird H.W. Chen	CHEN3330/EM	7898
23364	7590	07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
		IAS, PLLC	THOMSON, WILLIAM D		
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NDRIA, V	A 22314	2123		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	•
Y	7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/067,277	CHEN, BIRD H.W.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	William D. Thomson	2123					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 February 2002</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-9 have been examined and stand rejected.

#### **Priority**

2. Applicant is advised of possible benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), wherein an application for patent filed in the United States may be entitled to the benefit of the filing date of a prior application filed in a foreign country. Though Applicant has claimed the priority, the priority documents have not been provided.

#### Specification

3. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. At present the claims

,

Art Unit: 2123

are extremely difficult to understand. The specification is also problematic in that it appears to be a literal translation and lacks clarity.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1-9, if redrafted to comport with proper English language would be allowable over the prior art of record. Specifically the steps including the data conversion for converting network configurations and distributing into respective computers, following a logic operations based upon contents, the first validation of integrity and the second validation of symmetry of the network in combination with simulation frame procedure and determining the validity of the frames and simulation of the network configuration with the simulation of nodes and links (as recited in claim 1 with claim 2) for multiple access networks, render the claims allowable over the prior art of record, as long as appropriate amendments are provided to clarify the language and overcome the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejections. Moreover the steps in dependent claims 4-9 if amended to include claim 2, would be allowable over the prior art since the prior art does not teach or render obvious these limitations, as well.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record, on the accompanying PTO 892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure..

Application/Control Number: 10/067,277

Art Unit: 2123

Page 4

**CONTACT INFORMATION** 

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William D. Thomson whose telephone number is 571-

272-3718. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-3:30 Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Thomson

Primary Examiner

TC 2100

AU 2123

July 10, 2005